

**JOINT VENTURES AND STRATEGIC ALLIANCES IN
EMERGING MARKETS****Humoyun Mirzo Sharifjonov**

University of World Economy and Diplomacy

E-mail: humoyun_sh@mail.ru

Abstract. *Strategic alliances and joint ventures (JVs) can turn the frictions of emerging markets – regulatory barriers, fragmented distribution, and currency risk – into speed. Yet underperformance remains common. This article synthesizes fresh macro evidence on foreign direct investment (FDI), then develops three recent cases (China autos, India consumer, Ethiopia telecoms) to show where partnerships outperform solo entry or acquisitions. We translate those lessons into concrete design choices – economics and operating models – and close with an execution checklist grounded in governance and KPIs. Where possible, we point to source graphs you can lift directly.*

Introduction

The investment climate has become more demanding just as many firms need new growth vectors. UNCTAD's World Investment Report 2025 notes that headline global FDI activity in 2024 clustered around \$1.5 trillion, while the underlying picture remains fragile; UNCTAD warns that apparent increases are distorted by conduit economies and that productive investment faces pressure. The World Bank, focusing on 2023 (the latest full year in its dataset), reports FDI into developing economies at \$435 billion, the lowest since 2005. In this landscape, alliances and JVs are less a "nice-to-have" and more an operating system for market entry. [1]

Why partner now: the macro case plus performance reality

Tighter financing conditions have raised the bar for standalone greenfield plays. Partnerships help compress time-to-market and borrow local legitimacy. But success is not automatic. HBR has long estimated alliance failure rates in the 50-70% range, and McKinsey survey work (n~700) still points to trust and governance

as the decisive factors. In other words, alliances work when design and execution are treated as core strategy, not paperwork. [2]

Case 1: China autos: liberalized ownership, enduring JV scale

China moved from mandatory joint ventures toward a negative list regime that removed foreign ownership caps in automobile manufacturing in 2022. Yet scale remains partnership-led: Volkswagen Group China delivered 2.93 million vehicles in 2024 across Mainland China and Hong Kong, with around 595,000 Audi vehicles sold by its FAW-Volkswagen and SAIC-Volkswagen JVs, thus illustrating how entrenched local platforms continue to matter even when equity rules relax. The policy arc (Foreign Investment Law; negative lists) explains why governance, not just percentage ownership, drives outcomes. [3]

Case 2: India consumer: a 50:50 model that trades reach for control

Tata Starbucks, a 50:50 JV between Starbucks and Tata Consumer Products, set a target of 1,000 stores by 2028, with plans to double its Indian workforce to around 8,600. Company updates in July 2025 showed steady progress 485 stores across 80 cities as the JV expands beyond Tier-1 locations. The unit's cadence underscores why alliances excel where real estate, supply, and formats must localize fast. [4]

Design lesson: When the partner provides distribution muscle and government tender access while the MNC safeguards brand and quality, a 50:50 split with committee governance and clear operating SLAs can beat both looser distributor agreements and capital-heavy standalone builds.

Choosing where to partner: a facts-first market lens

A pragmatic screen is growth potential × institutional complexity. UNCTAD's 2024/2025 snapshots show mixed dynamics: Southeast Asia notched strong inflows even as other regions softened; developing-economy FDI is still recovering from multi-year lows. Pair those macro signals with sector-specific indicators—ownership caps, data/local-content rules, FX convertibility, capital-

account frictions—to decide whether a contractual alliance suffices or whether an equity JV is warranted. [1]

Structuring the deal: economics, control, contribution

Evidence from large-sample surveys and decades of field studies converges on a few design imperatives. First, match economics to contribution: use earn-ins or earn-outs tied to share, EBITDA, or build-out milestones so the local partner is paid for the capability you actually need (permits, last-mile, enterprise sales), not just for owning equity. Second, be explicit on control: publish reserved matters and voting thresholds to avoid deadlock. Third, protect IP and data explicitly—China’s legal reforms liberalized ownership caps but did not lessen expectations around cyber and data governance. [5]

Executing after signing: what the best operators actually do

Alliances fail less from “strategy” than from day-to-day ambiguity; high performers install a JV CEO with real authority, a joint PMO with one calendar and one KPI stack, and a RACI that frontline teams actually use. They lock liquidity early via natural FX hedges (localize costs against local revenues), intercompany loan frameworks, and clear dividend cadence. They also run a commercial pilot before equity where feasible; short co-selling pilots surface cultural and process friction cheaply. These moves map directly to the top success factors in survey data: alignment on objectives, trust and communication, and clear decision rights. [5]

Common pitfalls and how today’s evidence says to avoid them

First, misaligned objectives: one partner optimizes for market share, the other for cash returns. Cure this with a three-year value-creation plan that ties payout mechanics to the same north star—and schedule formal “scope refresh” reviews (HBR shows ventures that adapt scope outperform static ones). Second, assuming policy stability: as China’s cap removal shows, rules can relax or tighten; include reopener clauses and arbitration seats in neutral jurisdictions. Third, underestimating

build-out intensity: Ethiopia's rapid network rollout shows why consortium capital and milestone-based vendor terms protect cash. [6]

Conclusion

Alliances and JVs are not shortcuts; they are engineered systems to convert local complexity into competitive advantage. The macro backdrop: FDI softness and capital scarcity favour models that combine access and acceleration together. The cases here show what that looks like in practice: entrenched Chinese JV platforms still matter despite liberalization; Indian consumer growth rewards a 50:50 model that trades reach for brand control; The thread that turns these from paperwork into performance is disciplined governance: matched economics, explicit decision rights, and finally, operating KPIs that managers actually run.

List of used literature:

1. UN Trade and Development (UNCTAD). (2025). *World Investment Report 2025: International investment in the digital economy* |. [online] Available at: <https://unctad.org/publication/world-investment-report-2025> [Accessed 20 Oct. 2025].
2. Hughes, J. and Weiss, J. (2007). *Simple Rules for Making Alliances Work*. [online] Harvard Business Review. Available at: <https://hbr.org/2007/11/simple-rules-for-making-alliances-work> [Accessed 20 Oct. 2025].
3. Volkswagen Group Annual Report 2024. (2024). *Brands and Business Fields - Volkswagen Group Annual Report 2024*. [online] Available at: <https://annualreport2024.volkswagen-group.com/divisions/brands-and-business-fields.html> [Accessed 20 Oct. 2025].
4. About Starbucks. (2024). *Tata Starbucks to Accelerate Growth to 1,000 Stores, Double Employment in India by 2028*. [online] Available at: <https://about.starbucks.com/press/2024/tata-starbucks-to-accelerate-growth-to-1000-stores-double-employment-in-india-by-2028/> [Accessed 20 Oct. 2025].

5. McKinsey & Company. (2016). *Negotiating a better joint venture*. (survey exhibit on success/failure drivers). <https://www.mckinsey.com> (PDF mirror)
[McKinsey & Company](#)
6. HBR Store. (2025). *Your Alliances Are Too Stable* ^ R0506J. [online] Available at: https://store.hbr.org/product/your-alliances-are-too-stable/R0506J?srsId=AfmBOorbFquChP4YLQQnumxRpn6Oc3_uUr-AXik4hSuzC2DL3u3DJDtg&utm_source=chatgpt.com [Accessed 20 Oct. 2025].
7. Volkswagen Group China. (Feb 2025). Information for the Press (Deliveries 2024: 2.93m). <https://www.volkswagen-newsroom.com/en/volkswagen-group-china-5897> Volkswagen Newsroom
8. World Bank. (2025, Jun 16). *Foreign direct investment in retreat*. (Developing economies received \$435 billion in 2023).
<https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-release/2025/06/16/foreign-direct-investment-in-retreat> [World Bank](#)